(2)

SINGLE BENCH

P.R. No. 9241/06
Presented by Shri Jayaram Rao
dated 22/9/06

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 4349 /2006.
(CS)

PETITIONER: Tuka Ram Verma, S/o Balaram Verma, aged about 57 years, Field Assistant, Irrigation Department, Ranitarai, Tahsil and District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

VERSUS

Respondents:

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Water Resource Department, Raipur, Office situated at Secretariat Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Chief Engineer, Mahanadi Project Raipur, Office situated at Raipur.
3. Sub Divisional Magistrate, Water Resource Department, Tandula Division No.1, Admabad, Balod, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

5241/61 a

ATTESTED-COPY
3/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.4349 **सन् 2006**

(24)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	18.8.2006:- Shri N.S. Dhurandhar, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 7-7-2006 whereby he has been transferred from Tandula to Dantewada. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he has been transferred showing that the transfer has been effected at his request whereas, no such request was made by the petitioner. The other grievance of the petitioner is that he is a Class-4 employee, low paid employee and he has been transferred from Tandula to Dantewada at such a far off place whereas, the petitioner is on the verge of retirement. In the circumstances, counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the same, to which counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this writ petition and its annexures to respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide the representation within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.	

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

25

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3374/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge	

P.T.O.

21.8.06 23.8.06